PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 10201 WO/SDH	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/GB2004/001166	International filing date (day/month/year) 18 March 2004 (18.03.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18 March 2003 (18.03.2003)]			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC 7 B65F 1/00, B02C 18/14, 18/18					
Applicant PHILLIPS, Stephen, Alun					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 8 sheets, including this co	over sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary r		the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference er I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application			
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).					
	Date of issuance of this report 23 September 2005 (23.09.2005)					
	The International Bure		Authorized officer			
	34, chemin des Cole 1211 Geneva 20, Sw		Nora Lindner			

Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 65

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREAMS 0 4 FEB 2005

	_	
٨	/	PO

PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:		PCT
see form PCT/ISA/22	20	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
		(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/GB2004/001166	International filing date 18.03.2004	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 18.03.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC B65F1/00, B02C18/14, B02C18		n and IPC
Applicant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

IX.	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
\boxtimes	Box No. II	Priority

☑ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

☑ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application

☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

FURTHER ACTION

PHILLIPS, Stephen Alun

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

CORRECTED VERSION

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Smolders, R

Authorized Officer

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2814



International application No. PCT/GB2004/001166

_	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1 .	With re	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	laı	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search index Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With renecess	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and eary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	h C	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppropriate, were furnished.
4	. Additi	onal comments:

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001166

Во	Box No. II Priority					
1. 🛛	The fo	llowing document has not been furnished:				
	⊠	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).				
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).				
	Conse	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority clalm. This opinion has heless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.				
2. 🗆	has he	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim sen found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international late indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.				
3 Ad	lditional	observations, if necessary:				

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001166

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The obv	e questions whether the claimed ious), or to be industrially applications	inver able l	ntion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non have not been examined in respect of:			
	the entire international application,					
×	claims Nos. 32-38					
bec	pecause:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 32-38					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleonot comply with the technical r	otide equir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do rements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	See separate sheet for further	· deta	ils			

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001166

_	Вох	k No. IV	Lack of unity of In	vention		
1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:					s) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:	
			paid additional fees.			
			paid additional fees t	under pro	otest.	
		⊠	not paid additional fe	es.		
2.		This Au	uthority found that the olicant to pay addition	requiren al fees.	nent of uni	ity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite
3.	Thi	s Author	rity considers that the	requirem	ent of unit	ty of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
		complie	d with			
		not com	plied with for the follo	wing rea	sons:	
		see se	parate sheet			
4.	Cor	nsequen	ntly, this report has be	en estab	lished in re	espect of the following parts of the international application:
□ all parts.						
		the part	s relating to claims No	os. 1-7,9	-31	
_	Bo	x No. V lustrial	Reasoned statem applicability; citatio	ent und ns and e	er Rule 43 explanatio	Bbis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement
1.	Sta	tement				
	No	velty (N))	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	3-5,7,9-13,16-30 1,2,6,14,15,31
	inv	entive s	tep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	4,5,7,9-13,16-30 1-3,6,14,15,31
	Ind	lustrial a	applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-7,9-31
2.	Cit	ations a	nd explanations			

Form PCT/IPEA/237 (January 2004)

see separate sheet

PCT/GB2004/001166

-Re Item V.

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1) The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: EP-A-1 167 239 D2: DE-A-36 39 916 D3: US-A-4 213 384 D4: US-A-5 765 986

2) Claim 1 is not supported by the description as required by Article 6 PCT, as its scope is broader than justified by the description and drawings. The reasons therefor are the following:

The term "waste processor" in claim 1 is too broad as it could mean anything between refuse collection vehicles, load and unloading mans for such vehicles and waste incinerators, whereas it is clear from the description that only shredders and compactors are meant.

The feature in claim 1 that waste may be transferred to the waste processor is as well too broad, the description conveys the impression that this function can only be carried out in a particular way, namely by falling from the waste-receiving compartment into the shredder.

Hence, claim 1 is not supported by the description as required by Article 6 PCT.

- 3) Furthermore, the above-mentioned lack of clarity notwithstanding, the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, and therefore the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT are not met.
- 4) All documents D1, D2, D3 and D4 disclose a waste processing apparatus comprising a plurality of waste-receiving compartments (compartments 21 and 23 in D1, receptacles 30 in D2, compartments 601, 602, 121 and 122 in D3 and containers 3 in D4) and a waste processor (a double acting press in D1 and D3, a refuse collecting vehicle in D2 and an unloading ram in D4), wherein the waste

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/001166

processor and the plurality of waste-receiving compartments are movable relative to each other from a first position in which waste from one of the plurality of waste-receiving compartments may be transferred to the waste processor to a second position in which waste from another of the plurality of waste-receiving compartments may be transferred to the waste processor.

Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 1 lacks novelty.

- 5) As document D1 discloses the features of claims 2, 6, 14, 15 and 31 too, these claims do not meet the criteria of Article 33(2) PCT either.
- 6) Furthermore, documents D2 and D3 disclose as well the features of claim 2.
- 7) Dependent claim 3 does not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which it refers, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, as it is merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which a skilled person would select without the exercise of inventive skill.
- 8) The combination of the features of dependent claims 4, 5, 7, 9-13 and 16-30 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art as cited in the search report. Therefore, the subject-matter of these claims appears to be novel and inventive.